UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FILED
2008 MAR 28 PM 3:48
CLERK US DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
DEPUTY

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

This space for Clerk's Office File Stamp

TO: ☐ U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / ☐ U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Houston
FROM: C Puttmann, Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 3/25/2008
CASE NO.: 07cv1836 JAH JMA DOCUMENT FILED BY: Plaintiff
CASE TITLE: Powell v. City of Chula Vista et al
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Motion for Extention of time

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

✓	*Local Rule*	*Discrepancy*
☐	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
☐	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
☐	**5.4**	**Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.**
☐	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
☑	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
☐	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
☐	7.1	Missing table of contents
☐	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
☐	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
☐		Supplemental documents require court order
☐		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
☑		OTHER:Document requires a hearing date and time.

Date forwarded: 3/25/2008

ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

☒ The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.

☐ The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.

Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? ☐ Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers ☐

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1

CHAMBERS OF: Houston

Dated: 3-27-08 By: CJ Hill

cc: All Parties